

SEMINAR 35 - Introduction to the ASHRAE/REHVA Chilled Beam Design Guide

Energy Analysis and Total Cost of Ownership

Rafael Úrculo, Eng
Urculo Ingenieros, SA
r.urculo@me.com

Office Number +34 (915) 195 496

Cell Number +34 (629) 271 513

Carlos Lisboa, Eng
BLC navitas, Lda
carlos.lisboa@blcnavitas.pt
Office Number +351 214 415 546
Cell Number +351 919 263 880

Learning Objectives

- Understand how an active chilled beam functions.
- Understand design considerations when determining whether a project is a good active chilled beam candidate.
- Understand how to operate chilled beam systems in North American climates.
- Understand condensation risks and control strategies to prevent condensation.
- Understand how beam systems can reduce building energy consumption, maintenance and replacement costs.
- Understand the difference between active and passive beams.

ASHRAE is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems. Credit earned on completion of this program will be reported to ASHRAE Records for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for non-AIA members are available on request.

This program is registered with the AIA/ASHRAE for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product. Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Agenda for Session

- Energy analysis of beam systems,
- Total cost of ownership (TCO),
 - Capital expenditure, CAPEX
 - Operating expenses, OPEX
- Real life examples.

Energy Analysis

- Use of dynamic building energy simulation software,
- Fully know and understand the technology to be simulated,
- Deeply know and understand the calculation method used in the software,

Energy Analysis

- Specific features that may reduce energy consumption,
 - Higher CWT (13– 17°C) (55-63°F)
 - Lower HWT (32 – 45°C) (90-113°F),
 - Water to transport thermal energy,
 - Absence of excessive uncontrolled dehumidification,
 - Energy efficient room air distribution,
- Specific features that may increase energy consumption,
 - Low CWT temperature differential ($\Delta T \sim 2^{\circ}\text{C}$)

Energy Analysis

- Use of higher CWT (13– 17°C) (55-63°F) opportunities;
 - Dedicated chillers (high EER temperature program),
 - Water free-cooling (without compressors) using,
 - Cooling tower,
 - Dry-cooler,
 - Geothermal sources,
 - Increase of CW ΔT in the chillers.

Energy Analysis

- Use of lower HWT (32° – 45°C) (90 - 113°F) opportunities;
 - Condensation boiler,
 - Heat pump (high COP temperature program),
 - Reclaim heat (example; from Data Center cooling),
 - Geothermal sources,

Energy Analysis

- Use of water to transport thermal energy;

description	symbol	cooling with water	cooling with air	units	formula/source
density	ρ	8.350	0.075	lb/gal ; lb/cft	
specific heat	cp	1.0	0.24	BTU / lb.°F	
delta T	ΔT	4.0	20	°F	current value
cooling per (gpm, cfm)	cap	2,004	22	BTU/h	$\rho \cdot cp \cdot \Delta T \times 60$
flow per 3412 BTU/h cooling	q	0.5	46	gpm ; cfm	1 / cap
fan/pump pressure	P	67	6.0	ft water ; in water	current value
fan/pump efficiency (global)	η	0.55	0.55	-	current value
fan/pump power per 1000 BTU cooling	E	0.015	0.080	hp	$q \cdot P / (\text{conv.factor} \times \eta)$

Energy Analysis

- Use of water to transport thermal energy;

description	symbol	cooling with water	cooling with air	units	formula/source
density	ρ	8.350	0.075	lb/gal ; lb/cft	
specific heat	c_p	1.0	0.24	BTU / lb.°F	
delta T	ΔT	4.0	20	°F	current value
cooling per (gpm, cfm)	cap	2,004	22	BTU/h	$\rho \cdot c_p \cdot \Delta T \times 60$
flow per 3412 BTU/h cooling	q	0.5	46	gpm ; cfm	$1 / \text{cap}$
fan/pump pressure	P	67	6.0	ft water ; in water	current value
fan/pump efficiency (global)	η	0.55	0.55	-	current value
fan/pump power per 1000 BTU cooling	E	0.015	0.080	hp	$q \cdot P / (\text{conv.factor} \times \eta)$

Air requires around 5 times more energy to supply the same cooling capacity

Energy Analysis

- Use of water to transport thermal energy;

description	symbol	cooling with water	cooling with air	units	formula/source
density	ρ	8.350	0.075	lb/gal ; lb/cft	
specific heat	cp	1.0	0.24	BTU / lb.°F	
delta T	ΔT	4.0	20	°F	current value
cooling per (gpm, cfm)	cap	2,004	22	BTU/h	$\rho \cdot cp \cdot \Delta T \times 60$
flow per 3412 BTU/h cooling	q	0.5	46	gpm ; cfm	$1 / cap$
fan/pump pressure	P	67	6.0	ft water ; in water	current value
fan/pump efficiency (global)	η	0.55	0.55	-	current value
fan/pump power per 1000 BTU/h cooling	E	0.015	0.080	hp	$q \cdot P / (\text{conv.factor} \times \eta)$

Air requires around 5 times more energy to supply the same cooling capacity

Adds 20% to the sensible cooling load

Energy Analysis

- Use of water to transport thermal energy;

description	symbol	cooling with water	cooling with air	units	formula/source
density	ρ	1.000	1,2	kg/m ³	
specific heat	c_p	4,2	1,0	kJ/kg.K	
delta T	ΔT	2	10	K	current value
cooling per (l/s)	cap	8,4	0,012	kW	$\rho \cdot c_p \cdot \Delta T / 1000$
flow per kW cooling	q	0,12	83,33	l/s	$1 / \text{cap}$
fan/pump pressure	P	200	1,5	kPa	current value
fan/pump efficiency (global)	η	0,55	0,55	-	current value
fan/pump power per kW cooling	E	43	227	W / kWclg	$q \cdot P / \eta$

Energy Analysis

- Minimization of latent cooling;
 - In systems using condensing zone coils (wet), dehumidification is often excessive. Dehumidification at the zone coil is usually uncontrolled and increases when the coil surface temperature decreases
 - In beam systems, zone coils are dry and dehumidification is performed at the AHU in a controlled manner.

Energy Analysis

- Building simulation model;
 - Make sure all the features are accounted for in the calculation,
 - No building simulation software includes all the possible variations that may occur in an hvac design,
 - Frequently, “workarounds” are necessary to simulate specific features of hvac systems/equipments,
 - Input must be carefully reviewed bearing in mind the software’s calculation methodology,
 - Output must be carefully analysed; ***“assume the simulation is wrong until proven right”***

Capital Expenditures (Capex)

- Installation costs,
 - Detailed comparison with alternative systems,
- Replacement costs,
 - 20 years lifetime for beams,
- Other costs,
 - Not directly related to the HVAC system

Capital Expenditures (Capex)

- Opportunities for other costs reduction,
 - Reduced floor-to-floor height,
 - Relatively small mechanical rooms,
 - Deletion of architectural ceiling,
 - Integrated services (multi-service beam),

Operating Expenditure (Opex)

- Energy costs,
 - Opportunities related to the use of Beam Systems,
- Maintenance costs,
 - Opportunities related to the use of Beam Systems,

Maintenance Costs

- Features that reduce maintenance costs;
 - No fans&motors,
 - No filters,
 - Dry coils,
 - No condensate drain pans,
 - No condensate drain piping,
 - Centralized location of all equipments that require maintenance.

Maintenance Costs

- Typical maintenance routines,
 - Coil cleaning (time interval from 3 to 5 years)
 - Dry cleaning with vacuum equipment,
 - Removal of suspended ceiling not necessary,
 - Elevation equipment not necessary
 - Control system operation checked (annually)

Real life examples

Distrito C Telefonica (Telephone Company HQ)

Location: Madrid

Climate: Hot and Dry

Area: 366.700 sq.m (3.947.125 sq.ft)

System: water cooled chillers / closed circuit cooling towers / two pipes active beams / perimeter heating.

- **Dedicated Chillers to the beam CW circuit**
- **Cooling Towers can directly supply the beam CW circuit**

Building Completed in 2008

Real life examples

Adeslas Hospital

Location: Granada

Climate: Hot and Dry

Area: 17.650 m² (189.983 sq ft)

System: air cooled heat pump with HR / ground source heat pump / closed circuit cooling towers / patient rooms; two pipes active beams + perimeter heating

- **Ground source heat pump for the cooling and heating functions**

Currently in the construction phase.

Questions?

Carlos Lisboa

carlos.lisboa@blcnavitas.pt

Rafael Urculo

r.urculo@me.com